Jefferson Lab's 2005 Site Environmental Report

Aerial view of the Continuous Electron Beam Accelerator Facility at Jefferson Lab

Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility
U.S. Department of Energy
12000 Jefferson Avenue
Newport News, VA 23606

TJNAF'S
SITE ENVIRONMENTAL REPORT
For Calendar Year 2005

Prepared by:
ESH&Q Division
Jefferson Science Associates, LLC
Date: September 2006

TJNAF'S SITE ENVIRONMENTAL REPORT
FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2005

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This annual report documents Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility's (TJNAF) active environmental protection program by presenting results of environmental activities and monitoring programs. The report provides the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and the public with information on radioactive and non-radioactive pollutants, if any, added to the environment as a result of Lab operations.

Major Scientific and Research Programs

TJNAF's main purpose is to make available a research facility to support the nuclear physics community and the nation.

CEBAF The Continuous Electron Beam Accelerator Facility (CEBAF) at TJNAF provides an electron beam to three experimental halls where a variety of basic physics experiments are conducted. The electron beam begins its first orbit at the injector and proceeds through the underground racetrack-shaped accelerator tunnel at nearly the speed of light. The accelerator uses superconducting radio frequency (SRF) technology to drive electrons to higher and higher energies. The accelerator's electron beam can be split for simultaneous use by the three experimental halls, which are circular, partially buried domed chambers. Special equipment in each hall records the interactions between incoming electrons and the target materials. A continuous electron beam is necessary to accumulate data at an efficient rate yet ensures that each interaction is separate enough to be fully observed.

FEL The Free-Electron Laser supports basic science research and serves universities, private industry, NASA (the National Aeronautics and Space Administration), the U.S. Navy, the U.S. Air Force, and the U.S. Army. Designed and built with TJNAF's expertise in SRF accelerator technology, the FEL provides intense, powerful beams of laser light that can be tuned to a precise wavelength or color. The FEL is the most powerful tunable laser in the world, and produced up to 2.1 kilowatts (kW) of infrared (IR) laser light in its initial design and has set the world's record as a terahertz light source (20 watts). In 2004, the recently upgraded machine attained 10 kW IR. The FEL's capabilities are currently being expanded to produce more terahertz and UV (ultraviolet) wavelengths.

Research Areas Using resources at the Lab at the Center for Advanced Studies of Accelerators (CASA), the SRF, and the Lattice Quantum Chromodynamics (LQCD) Computing Project, TJNAF will continue performing research and development (R&D) programs to lead the world in the technology of SRF and energy-recovering linacs. This research will also involve providing these technologies and the supporting knowledge base for the construction of new accelerators for DOE Office of Science research projects at other laboratories in nuclear physics, basic energy sciences, and possibly high energy physics.

The E in Environment, Health, and Safety (EH&S)

Ultimate responsibility for protection of the environment and public health rests with the Lab Director, while line management implements identified objectives within their areas of responsibility. EH&S staff, situated within both the line organizations and in the newly formed EH&S Division,

provide support to line management and share their expertise with the Lab as a whole. TJNAF's EH&S program is implemented in numerous ways.

Integrated Safety Management (ISM) System Through ISM, TJNAF incorporates EH&S requirements into all work procedures. The primary objective of ISM is to make safety, health, and environmental protection a part of routine business at TJNAF.

Environmental Management System (EMS) Implementation Since its inception, TJNAF has had an environmental protection program, but in 2003 the Lab committed to begin implementing an EMS. Key Lab staff continued the development of the EMS throughout 2004 and most of 2005, culminating with the declaration of the Lab's formal EMS in October 2005.

Work Smart Standards (WSS) Process The goal of the WSS process is to provide a means to implement EH&S in a manner that is both effective and cost-efficient. The WSS Set is comprised of the laws, regulations, and standards necessary and sufficient to ensure worker and public health and safety, and to protect the environment.

Implementation of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Most facility construction activities and all accelerator upgrades are subject to review under the NEPA. The initial TJNAF construction, a later upgrade to CEBAF, and some major new buildings have been addressed in Environmental Assessments (EAs). Routine Lab activities and special projects are usually covered under site-specific NEPA Categorical Exclusions (CXs).

EH&S Performance Measures The DOE/SURA (Southeastern Universities Research Association, Inc.[*]) contract-based measures, used to evaluate TJNAF's EH&S performance, include items such as permit exceedances and affirmative procurement performance. The Lab made further improvement towards reaching its affirmative procurement goal of 100% for fiscal year (FY) 2005. Also addressed in the contract are metrics involving worker safety and health. The Lab received a "good" rating in EH&S for the fiscal year but environmental performance remained "outstanding."

Inspections TJNAF's commitment to protection of the environment and public health and safety are demonstrated through its inspection programs. Both external agencies and key Lab and DOE Site Office staff conduct inspections to ensure operations and activities at TJNAF are being done in the most sound manner. Compliance with all applicable laws and regulations is demonstrated throughout this report.

Compliance

TJNAF complied with all applicable Federal, State, and local environmental laws, regulations, and DOE guidance during 2005. As a result, TJNAF operations had no discernable impact on public health or the environment. Our compliance status on all programs is identified in Section 2 of this report. Radiation-related issues, especially those dealing with water resources and public health, are highlighted in Section 3. Non-radiological environmental issues, such as water sampling and monitoring, are highlighted throughout. The TJNAF EH&S Manual, which addresses many environmental topics, and the Lab's WSS Set are regularly updated to ensure that new environmental compliance initiatives are integrated into site operations.

[*] Jefferson Science Associates, LLC (JSA) replaced SURA as the management and operations contractor on June 1, 2006.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FIGURES

EXHIBITS

SECTION 1
INTRODUCTION

1.1 PURPOSE OF THIS REPORT

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) requires its facilities to establish and annually report on environmental programs and performance. This document marks the 13th year that Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility (TJNAF) has been preparing a Site Environmental Report (SER). This report addresses the status and results of the Lab's environmental protection (EP) program, which also addresses public health items, for calendar year (CY) 2005. It serves to inform TJNAF staff, DOE, regulators, and the public about site environmental performance, and provides a historical record of identified items of interest or concern.

The SER is available in a viewable downloadable pdf file. Look for this CY 2005 SER by going to TJNAF's web page at http://www.jlab.org/ehs/ser/.

1.2 LABORATORY MISSION

TJNAF is a national accelerator facility managed and operated in 2005 by the Southeastern Universities Research Association, Inc. (SURA) for the DOE. The accelerator complex portion of the Lab includes an underground electron accelerator, the Continuous Electron Beam Accelerator Facility (CEBAF), which is TJNAF's primary research tool. CEBAF operates at energies up to about 6 GeV (billion (Giga-) electron volts) and provides beam to three underground halls that house physics program experiments. The CEBAF accelerator is used to conduct user driven research into how nucleons are built from quarks and gluons, and how this structure leads to the standard nucleon-based picture of the nucleus.

TJNAF's basic mission is to provide forefront scientific facilities, opportunities, and leadership essential for discovering the fundamental nature of nuclear matter, to partner with industry to apply its advanced technology, and to serve the nation and its communities through education and public outreach, all with uncompromising excellence in environment, health, and safety.

1.3 SITE OPERATIONS

As a world-class research institution, TJNAF attracts resident and visiting physicists and other scientists. Approximately 660 full-time physicists, engineers, technicians, and support staff work at the Lab. More than 2,100 academic and industrial researchers from across the United States and from approximately 30 countries and 187 institutions participate in scientific collaborations at TJNAF. Since TJNAF first began running experiments with CEBAF in 1994, data has been gathered for 122 experiments, and partial data has been gathered on another seven experiments. On average, TJNAF research has been the basis for the theses of 25 percent of all new U.S. nuclear physics Ph.D.s each year for the last several years. The Lab has thus far produced more than 204 patent disclosures. Of those, 122 were submitted for the patent-application process. Sixty one patents had been issued by the end of 2005, including light-guide technologies, medical imagers, flaw-detection equipment, a fire detection/prevention system, and an electronic lockout device that can be used for both safety and security purposes.

There are six major facilities (program areas) on the DOE site: CEBAF, a superconducting radio frequency (SRF) electron accelerator; End Stations A, B, and C (large halls that house physics experiments) that make use of beams from CEBAF; the Institute for SRF Science and Technology that serves primarily as a R&D center for SRF accelerator cavities; the Center for Advanced Studies of Accelerators (CASA) that supports the site accelerators and considers future planning opportunities; a Free-Electron Laser (FEL) User Facility that produces laser beams to serve university, industry, and military partners; and, a Lattice Quantum Chromodynamics (LQCD) Computer, a 1/4 Teraflop commodity-PC-based machine.



Figure 1.3 - 1
Site Map

1.4 SITE HISTORY AND DESCRIPTION

Prior to the construction of TJNAF, there were several users of this general area of Newport News. The U.S. Department of Defense (DOD) acquired most of the Oyster Point area, including the land presently used by TJNAF. The U.S. Air Force later acquired the land and installed a BOMARC missile site on a portion of the property. After closure of the BOMARC missile base, the DOD started disposing of the property and conveyed some land to the Commonwealth of Virginia, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), and others. Ownership of the NASA property, including 100 acres of undeveloped land, was conveyed to the DOE in 1987. An additional 52 acres of land was also transferred to the DOE from other sources. The total DOE-owned parcel, upon which TJNAF is built, is 163 acres.

In 1986, an adjacent 44 acres were conveyed to SURA by the City of Newport News. A SURA residence facility is located on a portion of this land. Adjacent to this property is the former BOMARC missile site.

Sign at Main Entrance to TJNAF

Also adjacent to the DOE-owned site is a 10.7-acre parcel owned by the Commonwealth of Virginia and leased to the City of Newport News. The Applied Research Center (ARC) is located on this property, and is used by TJNAF, industry, and universities. Other adjacent land owned by the Commonwealth of Virginia is leased to SURA and the DOE for its use in support of Lab operations. This area, the DOE-owned site, and other nearby properties are considered part of the city's Jefferson Center for Research and Technology.

1.5 FACILITIES AND 2005 ACTIVITIES

The 163-acre DOE site is primarily divided into two main areas. One includes R&D labs, fabrication facilities, and administrative offices and is referred to as the campus. The second is a 40-acre fenced area, termed the Accelerator Site, where the CEBAF and FEL accelerators and related structures that accommodate experiment support functions are located. The accelerator site is located on the south end of the DOE property, and access is restricted through one entrance that is staffed 24-hours a day. The front view of the main administration building, CEBAF Center, located on the campus, is shown below.

Front Entrance to CEBAF Center

Facilities

There are four major facilities that have more than minimal environmental protection or public health-related implications. They are CEBAF, its experimental halls (End Stations), the SRF Facility, and the FEL User Facility. A short description of each follows. These facilities and other activities that have potential environmental implications, such as the use of chemicals and oil products, are discussed elsewhere in the report.

CEBAF This accelerator provides continuous wave electron beams with energies from 0.5 to 5.7 GeV. CEBAF is used as a tool for exploring the transition area or range where strongly interacting (nuclear) matter can be understood as bound states of protons and neutrons, and the regime where the underlying fundamental quark-and-gluon structure of matter is evident. The nature of this transition is at the frontier of our understanding of matter.



Atomic Structure

End Stations (Halls A, B, and C) Each hall (or end station) has its own set of complementary experimental equipment. Hall A has a pair of superconducting, high-resolution magnetic spectrometers optimized for precision electron scattering coincidence experiments. The CEBAF Large Acceptance Spectrometer (CLAS) that supports studies of both electron and photon-induced reactions is housed in Hall B. The third end station, Hall C, contains a pair of moderate resolution

spectrometers, with one capable of high momentum particle detection, and the second optimized for the detection of short-lived reaction products.

The SRF Facility The SRF program is centered in the Lab's Institute for SRF Science and Technology. This Institute's strength is in R&D and large-scale applications of SRF, including to better upgrade or advance CEBAF and the FEL. Some ongoing work in the ARC also supports development of state-of-the-art surface science and SRF R&D to improve accelerator capabilities. TJNAF's design, development and fabrication of SRF cryomodule production work for DOE's Spallation Neutron Source (SNS) came to an end during the first half of 2005 with successful delivery of the last contracted cryomodule.

FEL User Facility The FEL is an accelerator that was initially designed to provide 1,000 watts (1 kilowatt (kW)) of infrared (IR) light with picosecond pulse length for use by TJNAF, industrial, DOD, and university partners. The accelerator has since been upgraded, enabling operation in a range that extends from 1,000 watts of ultraviolet (UV) light to 10,000 watts (10 kW) of IR light.

Achievements and Future Planning
FEL researchers and engineers at TJNAF were awarded an R&D 100 Award for being among the 100 most technologically significant new products in 2005. This is TJNAF's second R&D 100 Award. The FEL is an unparalleled laser in its capability as a light source that is opening up new applications in national security, materials science, photobiology, photochemistry, and high sensitivity spectroscopy. These applications hold such exciting research potential that the TJNAF FEL is being copied at a number of institutions.

Analysis and R&D work on the proposed upgrade of CEBAF to 12 GeV continued in 2005. This upgrade in electron beam energy levels and a new experimental hall, Hall D, will support experiments that test the strong force that holds atomic particles together.

1.6 ENVIRONMENT, HEALTH, AND SAFETY (EH&S)

Environmental Review
An environmental assessment, termed EA, performed under National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) procedures, was conducted prior to the construction of the original CEBAF project, resulting in a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI). In 1997 and 2002, EAs that also yielded FONSIs addressed a CEBAF upgrade, an FEL upgrade, and five building construction projects. Existing NEPA-related documentation is periodically reviewed. In April of 2005, an Environmental Assessment Determination Proposal for the further upgrade and operation of the CEBAF and FEL accelerators and construction and use of buildings associated with the TJNAF's 2005 Ten-Year Site Plan was recommended. It was determined that an EA needed to be prepared to assess the impacts of the proposed actions. This new EA, DOE/EA-1534, should be finalized in 2006.

EH&S Resources
To ensure that staff, employees, subcontractors, and users are aware of and utilize EH&S principles, EH&S responsibilities are incorporated into each position description. The facility makes available to every employee, user, and visitor, a variety of EH&S resources to serve the TJNAF community. Local resources include: EH&S staff that support specific line organizations; EH&S program specialists that serve the entire facility in their area of expertise; groups and committees that address Lab-wide concerns, develop policy, and resolve selected issues; and, the TJNAF EH&S Manual, as the primary source of EH&S implementing procedures. Other EH&S resources available to and

utilized by program managers include: DOE subject matter experts; DOE program specialists that deal with policy issues at all levels; and, colleagues at other DOE facilities that share expertise and lessons learned from their own unique experiences

.

SECTION 2
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION PROGRAM

There are many facets to TJNAF's EP program. As stated in Section 1, the Lab's mission, along with worker health and safety, includes protection of the environment and public health. Various controls, including the use of an Integrated Safety Management (ISM) System strategy, were established to accomplish this aspect of the mission.

The site's EP program provides guidance and requirements for implementing site environmental programs, for making environmentally preferable choices, and for the review of performance through assessments and inspections. Compliance with both applicable EP and public health-related laws and regulations are interwoven into Lab operations. One way that TJNAF demonstrated compliance with these laws and regulations was shown when there were no permit violations in 2005.

2.1 ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

During 2004, the requirement to develop an Environmental Management System (EMS) was incorporated into the DOE/SURA operating contract. TJNAF began addressing the new requirement by forming an EMS Implementation Team to start the process of preparing, documenting, and putting into practice a formal EMS based on International Organization of Standardization (ISO) 14001 and applicable parts of DOE Order 450.1. An EMS focuses on establishing management level programs that serve as the basis to direct the performance of Lab activities that could affect the environment. The overall management system format includes setting up the organizational structure, planning activities, responsibilities, procedures, processes, and resources for developing, integrating, achieving, reviewing, and maintaining the commitments made in the Lab's EH&S Policy. Elements of the EMS continue to be incorporated into existing site documents, such as the Lab's EH&S Manual and workplace Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs).

In late 2005, TJNAF's EMS was self-declared. The EMS serves in conjunction with the Lab's already established ISM System. The objective of these systems is to make safety, health, and environmental protection a routine part of doing business at and with TJNAF.

Site EH&S Policy
TJNAF's EH&S Policy was updated in early 2005 to incorporate EMS. The updated policy reflects the current EH&S commitments to both the Lab population of staff, users, and visitors, and to our local community neighbors.

A portion of TJNAF's Policy on EH&S follows:

> "Sound environmental protection, health and safety (EH&S) practices are essential elements to the successful execution of TJNAF's scientific mission and all related activities. It is TJNAF policy to identify and adhere to all applicable EH&S laws, regulations, standards, and DOE contractual commitments. TJNAF considers no activity to be so urgent or important that our standards for environmental protection, health, or safety may be compromised…."
>
> Christoph W. Leemann, TJNAF Director
> May 2005

Environmental Planning and Analysis Procedures
Environmental planning and analysis are accomplished by documenting and reviewing projects and activities for EMS considerations such as NEPA. Line management is responsible for providing notification of actions and impacts of new activities to the Office of Performance Assurance to enable sufficient time for review and authorization as applicable. TJNAF flows down appropriate EH&S requirements, through subcontract documents, to its subcontractors. These documents address environmental consequences and identify mitigation measures to minimize any such consequences.

Environmental Objectives and Targets
The Lab operates within the DOE/SURA contractual requirements and performance measures, which include staying within environmental permit criteria. Also, as TJNAF began formalizing its EMS, it began identifying environmental objectives and targets that would increase the Lab's focus on the pollution prevention (P2) process.

Implementation and Operations Controls
The DOE/SURA contract and environmental permits define the environmental protection terms and conditions for the operation and performance of TJNAF. ISM (including environmental protection) roles, responsibilities, and implementation procedures are included in the Lab's EH&S Manual. Initial EMS awareness training for Lab staff was developed and conducted in 2005. Lab management also committed to providing EMS awareness training to subcontractors and visiting scientific users in 2006.

The Lab developed Target Implementation Plans (TIPs) under its EMS. One TIP to improve lead storage practices helped facilitate starting the design for a lead storage facility.

Identification of Environmental Aspects and Impacts
EMS-specific aspect and impact identification was performed. The primary environmental aspects at TJNAF were identified as water discharges and waste issues. In addition, intensive uses of resources such as electricity and water that are needed to operate a particle accelerator are considered aspects.

Performance Measurement
The Lab semi-annually reviews contract performance measure results for various topical areas that include EH&S. As well, the compliance status toward meeting measurable objectives in the form of best management practices under the general site storm water permit was reviewed in 2005. The DOE continued to monitor EMS implementation progress during the year as the Lab prepared for and accomplished self-declaration.

Corrective Action and Self-Assessment Procedures
The ISM Program is reviewed annually and updated as necessary. The December 2005 update included the incorporation of EMS elements. All TJNAF FY 2005 ISM Safety Performance measures were met, with results provided to the DOE Site Office.

The EMS assessment process is linked with the ISM Program annual review. This review process consists of both topical self-assessments conducted by line management and independent assessments.

In August 2005, TJNAF performed an EMS-based ISO 14001 internal audit that included experienced outside consultant participation. This audit report identified the actions that still needed

to be accomplished for TJNAF to be prepared to self declare the EMS by the end of December. As well, an internal EMS review in December that involved DOE participation, reviewed compliance with ISO 14001 and also gave attention to the DOE Order 450.1 EMS requirements. The review concluded with the DOE acknowledgement that the TJNAF EMS was in place.

As a result of these reviews the Laboratory identified an EMS improvement initiative to implement in CY 2006. It was developed using the constructive inputs of both TJNAF and the DOE Site Office staff.

Management Review Process
The Director's Council, comprised of senior management, reviews the ISM System Program Description periodically through the assessment process noted above. The review is documented and open items are tracked until closure, with regular status reports provided to the Lab Director. Integrating the annual EMS audit with the ISM process was completed in 2005.

2.2 MAJOR ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION PROGRAMS

2.2.1 Environmental Monitoring Program

Environmental monitoring is one of the primary methods used by the Lab to assess environmental conditions. Monitoring is conducted to: verify compliance with applicable regulations and other requirements; evaluate the Lab's impact on the environment and public health; identify potential environmental problems; provide data to support management decisions; and, evaluate the need for remedial actions or mitigative measures.

The site program establishes guidelines for examining chemical, oil, and radioactive effluents generated by the facility. An integral part of the program is routine sampling and tracking of air, process water, wastewater, and groundwater. These are monitored to ensure that TJNAF effluents do not have a negative impact on the surrounding environment and that they remain within any applicable requirement or permit's allowable range.

Both permit-required and routine monitoring practices center on the potential environmental exposure pathways appropriate to medium-energy particle physics laboratories. These pathways include external and internal exposure to radiation, a major focus of the site's monitoring program. Programs responsive to on-site and offsite radiation protection requirements have been instituted. Exposure potentials are discussed in Section 3.

Standard sample collection and analysis methods are documented in program and departmental procedures. Routine environmental monitoring is performed under the direction of responsible line management and is overseen by the Lab's Office of Performance Assurance. General program information is provided below.

2.2.1.1 Monitoring Water Conditions

Both ground and surface water quality protection are high priorities at TJNAF. Groundwater quality is a focus area primarily due to operating the underground CEBAF accelerator and the potential for groundwater activation. Preventing surface water pollution is another area of attention during both general site usage and civil construction actions.

Rain Garden at TJNAF

Standards used to protect water quality include Virginia regulations, the Clean Water Act (CWA), and others identified in the Lab's Work Smart Standards (WSS) Set. TJNAF complies with all requirements and performs monitoring under the three site water quality permits, two under the State, and one under the local sanitation district, described below. Groundwater quality is maintained through managing operations and implementing shielding to minimize impacts. Refer to discussions in other sections of this report. Surface water quality is maintained by discharging to the environment only permitted effluent from a cooling tower and unpolluted waters, such as rainwater. Control measures identified for the site include using Lab programs and procedures where materials of concern are used or stored. All environmental permit conditions were met in 2005. Other site water quality programs that do not involve monitoring also apply and are described in Section 2.2.2.1.

Virginia Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (VPDES) Permits

Facilities in Virginia that directly discharge to waters of the United States must obtain a VPDES Permit, which satisfies Federal National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System requirements. The Virginia program is designed to protect surface waters by limiting primarily non-radiological releases of effluents into streams, lakes, and other waters, including wetlands. Quarterly reports under these two permits are provided to the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ).

Groundwater Monitoring - VPDES Permit No. VA0089320

This permit covers the quality of groundwater flowing across the site, including groundwater that is discharged to the surface in a dewatering operation to prevent the partially buried experimental halls from flooding. Groundwater monitoring results for both non-radiological and radiological quality are conducted and reported quarterly at fifteen monitoring wells and at the groundwater dewatering collection point. The wells and the collected withdrawn groundwater were sampled for the general water quality parameters of pH, conductivity, total suspended solids (TSS), and total dissolved solids (TDS).

Because of the potential for activation of groundwater from accelerator operations, "baseline" water quality values for certain parameters were obtained prior to 1995, and their collection completed when the accelerator became operational. The present well monitoring program enables the comparison of current and "baseline" values to verify that TJNAF site activities are not degrading the quality of either on-site or offsite groundwater. Non-radiological and radiological sampling data collected in

2005 were representative of groundwater quality during accelerator operations and are consistent with previous baseline measurements.

An unannounced inspection by the DEQ was conducted on August 11, 2005. The Lab's program under Permit No. VA0089320 was reviewed during this inspection. There were no deficiencies or recommendations noted in the DEQ report.

Several construction activities on the Accelerator Site continued throughout 2005, with no effect on water quality from these projects. There were two major construction projects outside of the Accelerator Site, namely the construction of a major addition to CEBAF Center, the Phase I Addition, and the site's first stormwater retention pond. Both of these projects were far enough outside of the area where groundwater is monitored under this permit that no effect at the monitoring wells is expected.

Information on general water quality parameters is included in the rest of Section 2.2, and radiological parameter information is presented in Section 3.2.

Cooling Water Discharges – VPDES General Permit No. VAG250018
This permit, which also contains water quality limits, covers cooling water discharges from one cooling tower system adjacent to the Central Helium Liquifier, Building 8. Quarterly sampling is performed and flow information as well as sampling results for pH, temperature, ammonia, total hardness, total dissolved copper, total dissolved zinc, and total residual chlorine are reported. The materials used for cooling water treatment were approved by the DEQ and there are no environmental concerns with the use of these chemicals.

Hampton Roads Sanitation District Permit No. 0117
Facilities in Virginia that discharge to the Hampton Roads Sanitation District (HRSD) must obtain this industrial wastewater discharge permit. The HRSD program is designed to meet all Virginia effluent level requirements. TJNAF generates this standard industrial wastewater and discharges it under this permit. Cooling tower effluent and a small quantity of activated water are also authorized for release per permit conditions.

HRSD conducted an inspection on March 16, 2005. The inspection covered several TJNAF buildings and a review of monthly and quarterly records. There were no identified concerns that required any response to HRSD. It was noted that TJNAF would receive a Gold Award for its excellent 2004 performance.

To meet monitoring requirements, TJNAF performs sampling at two sanitary sewer outflow streams to verify that pH levels are within permit limits. Besides the activated water discharge noted above, there are three special discharges to the sanitary sewer system. TJNAF has three elementary neutralization systems, which record pH information electronically and have built in safeguards to prevent release of any acid below a set pH value. The primary system in Building 31 handles waste acid from cryomodule research and development, cavity production, and some general maintenance activities. A small elementary neutralization tank in Building 31 handles waste acid rinsewater, and a third outflow handles small amounts of rinsewater from a small chemistry lab in Building 58.

The activated water that was collected and discharged in 2005 was a combination of the output from dehumidification equipment in the experimental halls and small withdrawals from various beam dump cooling water systems. The activated water program is appropriately managed by the Radiation Control (RadCon) Department to stay within all permit requirements. The total radioactivity discharged to the sanitary sewer in 2005 was 1.15 Curie (Ci) of tritium (or about 23% of the total allowed under the permit), and 0.0019 Ci of other gamma-emitting radionuclides (or 0.19% of the total allowed under the same permit).

For all monitoring, subcontracted analytical laboratories and/or RadCon Department staff (for radiological parameters only) perform all sampling at the prescribed sampling points. HRSD independently performs periodic sampling at all discharge streams for a full complement of metals and other parameters to validate TJNAF's compliance with permit and regulatory requirements. This includes an annual seven-day period of monitoring flows and taking samples to help determine if our discharges remain consistent and if any changes to the permit are necessary. Self-monitoring and HRSD results demonstrated that TJNAF remained within the limits of the HRSD-issued permit in 2005. Due to no program or administrative violations during the year, TJNAF was presented with a 2005 Gold Award in early 2006 for this notable achievement. In early 2006, TJNAF submitted a nomination package for a 2005 Pollution Prevention Award for the new CEBAF Center Phase 1 Addition, mentioned earlier, that incorporated many environmentally sustainable elements. No award was received but HRSD recognized this P2 initiative.

2.2.1.2 Monitoring Air Emissions

TJNAF complies with Commonwealth and Federal regulations regarding sources of potential air pollution. The Federal Clean Air Act (CAA) and its 1990 Amendments (CAAA) regulate the air emissions of DOE's processes and facilities. TJNAF has no processes that require air permitting. Emissions data on the site's natural gas-fired boilers are tracked. This information is provided to the DEQ upon request, including the February 2006 submittal of an Update of Air Emissions Inventory for CY 2005.

Since a 1995 review of non-radiological emission sources indicated a minimal level of emissions, there have been no major changes in air emissions. TJNAF, therefore, remains below any reporting thresholds. Compliance with all applicable clean air standards was maintained in 2005.

National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAPs)

NESHAPs govern air emissions that contain hazardous components, such as radionuclides and asbestos.

Radionuclide Emissions

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) administers the radionuclide program in Virginia. Radionuclide emissions generated during CEBAF and FEL testing and operations fall under NESHAPs requirements. (Refer to Section 3 for discussion of direct radiation, the primary form of radiation generated on-site.)

To address NESHAPs requirements, TJNAF uses sampling results and calculations to demonstrate that Lab operations remain below the EPA-defined 10 millirem per year (mrem/yr) potential effective dose equivalent to any member of the public. As effluent concentrations are below monitoring thresholds, routine monitoring of

radioactive airborne effluents at the site boundary is not required; however, the Lab does make periodic confirmatory measurements to verify low emissions.

Under requirements of the CAA, TJNAF submits the annual NESHAPs emission report to the EPA. The estimated dose equivalent from airborne releases in conjunction with the Lab's accelerator operations during 2005 was 0.014 mrem. Refer to Section 3.2.1 for more information.

Asbestos Removal
The NESHAP standard requires that individuals conducting asbestos-related training and activities follow approved procedures, and employ specific work practices to prevent release of asbestos to the air. In 2005 the Trailer City Complex, scheduled for demolition and removal in early 2006, was determined to contain no asbestos or asbestos-containing materials.

2.2.2 Other Programs with Compliance Commitments

2.2.2.1 General Water Programs

General Permit for Small Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems (MS4s) - VAR040079
This permit authorizes operators of MS4s to discharge storm water to surface waters within Virginia. The permit's intent is to keep surface waters free of sediment and other pollution. Under this permit, the Lab maintains a storm water management program, as noted in Chapter 6733 of the TJNAF EH&S Manual. The permit also requires that the Lab implement appropriate best management practices (BMPs) and set related measurable goals to address the control measures identified in the permit. One of the BMPs is to track by FY the number of incidences, such as spills, that could or did impact storm water. There were no incidences, including the oil spills noted below, that affected storm water quality in 2005.

General Permit for Storm Water Discharges of Storm Water from Construction Activities –VPDES Permit No. VAR103277
The main requirement under this permit is that the Lab have a documented Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWP3) that must be followed for all projects disturbing one or more acres of land. The permit authorizes TJNAF to discharge storm water from areas disturbed by such construction activities. Though no monitoring is required under this permit, strict erosion and control measure inspection and maintenance requirements are incorporated into subcontractor specifications. TJNAF's Facilities Management Department oversees civil construction projects, ensuring that subcontractors adhere to this permit and other contract-specified standards.

Permit to Withdraw Groundwater - No. GW0047200
Maintaining water table levels is necessary to prevent the partially buried experimental halls from flooding, so pumping to maintain water table control will be necessary for the life of the facility. To accomplish this, a network of drains collects local groundwater into a sump pit, where it is then pumped and discharged to the surface. The only factor of concern under this permit is the quantity of water pumped. This authorization enables TJNAF to pump a maximum of 775,000 gallons monthly and 7,074,000 gallons annually.

Quantities of water pumped from these tile fields are reported to the DEQ. All withdrawal quantities, both monthly and annually, were well within permit requirements. The affected groundwater is sampled for water quality parameters under VPDES Permit No. 0089320. There were no unusual issues regarding this discharge in 2005.

Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasure (SPCC) Plan

The TJNAF SPCC Plan is reviewed annually and was last updated in 2004. This plan addresses all storage tanks and oil-containing equipment on-site. Oil inventory at TJNAF mainly consists of numerous oil-filled electrical transformers ranging in volume from 2 gallons to about 4,800 gallons and emergency generators including one holding 5,000 gallons. The total volume of oil on-site is estimated to be about 40,000 gallons, with about 6,000 gallons of this total under the control of Dominion Virginia Power, the regional electric service provider. The Lab maintains a used oil collection area to assist in managing the resulting used oil. To ensure proper handling and response (in the event of a spill or release), all staff that work with oil receive SPCC Training.

Potential oil spill sources are located such that surface water discharge spillways and the sluice gates located at the site boundary can be effectively used to prevent any oil spills from leaving the site. Most DOE transformers utilize secondary containment, while the Dominion Virginia Power transformers have none. Like TJNAF, Dominion Virginia Power maintains a SPCC Plan for its oil-containing items at the Lab.

There were two hydraulic fluid release events at the CEBAF Center construction site in 2005. These spills received prompt response and attention by site response staff and both spill sites were remediated to below Lab detection levels. There was only a minor temporary impact on the environment, including from the larger spill of about 30 gallons, which was contained within a small area. No impact on public health resulted from either of these incidents.

2.2.2.2 General Air Programs

National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS)

The EPA has established NAAQS for sulfur oxides, particulate matter, carbon monoxide, ozone, nitrogen dioxide, and lead. In 2005, the Hampton Roads area, which includes Newport News, Virginia, remained in attainment status for all NAAQS pollutants except ozone.

No monitoring of air pollutant emissions is required at TJNAF. There are no applicable NAAQS emissions sources present on the site although accelerator operations do result in the generation of small quantities of ozone. There are no environmental or public health effects from this generation; however, ozone is monitored as a worker health issue and is appropriately controlled.

Stratospheric Ozone-Depleting Substances (ODSs)

To support the CAAA and one of the objectives in Executive Order (EO) 13148, Greening the Government through Leadership in Environmental Management, TJNAF strives to minimize the use of ODSs by using safe, cost-effective, environmentally preferable alternatives. ODS-containing items used at TJNAF include refrigerants, degreasers, cleaners, and aerosol can propellants. The phase out of these substances will have a moderate impact on the site. To reduce ODSs and ODS-containing items on-site, TJNAF utilizes trained and licensed subcontractors and staff to perform all work involving ODS-containing refrigeration

and air conditioning equipment. As well, TJNAF has one ODS recovery machine on-site. The one remaining chlorofluorocarbon (CFC)-based chiller on-site receives preventive and corrective maintenance by a qualified mechanical subcontractor to ensure optimal performance and minimal CFC losses.

The Facilities Management Director must approve all purchases of equipment containing ODSs.

2.2.2.3 Waste Programs

Waste Management
Waste streams at the Lab include both RCRA (Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976) (hazardous and non-hazardous solid) and non-RCRA (low-level radioactive and medical) wastes. Site programs address applicable Federal requirements, which Virginia has adopted. The Lab endeavors to reduce its waste generation and has made progress in some areas. Lab staff encourage the reuse or recycling of previously used or discarded materials wherever possible. Waste generation and recycling quantities are tracked and reported annually to the DOE.


Secondary Containment in Use

There have been no waste management activities associated with spills or cleanup actions under other Federal programs such as the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA). There were no waste-related compliance issues in 2005.

Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA)
RCRA promotes the protection of health and the environment and the conservation of valuable material and energy resources. RCRA provides the EPA authority to regulate solid waste from minimization and recovery to collection and disposal.

RCRA wastes include the Lab's hazardous and non-hazardous special waste streams and waste that is recycled or sent to a landfill. The last DEQ inspection of the hazardous waste program occurred on September 5, 2002, with no deficiencies identified.

In FY 2005, 6.48 tons of routine RCRA hazardous wastes and 326 tons of general refuse were reported to the DOE. An increase from the FY 2004 generation of RCRA waste came from two special activities where the wastes could not be processed in the neutralization system. RCRA hazardous and normal landfill wastes are managed for disposal by the appropriate staff in the EH&S Division and in the Facilities Management Department, respectively.

The largest volumes of hazardous wastes generated were a waste acid mixture used for niobium cavity processing and waste solvents from cleaning operations. TJNAF neither transports hazardous wastes nor operates any regulated treatment or disposal units. All wastes are disposed of through licensed waste handling transporters and facilities.

Hazardous and Special Waste Streams
Improvements in hazardous waste generation rates have been recognized and documented with the use of performance measures. TJNAF has made notable progress in meeting hazardous waste minimization objectives, primarily through the use of the newest acid neutralization system. EH&S Division staff, working with those regularly using chemicals, continued to emphasize substitution, reduction, and reuse of hazardous materials in the workplace.

Other Wastes
Other wastes generated at the Lab, those not covered under RCRA, include radioactive, medical, and toxic wastes. Only a minimal amount of medical waste is generated at TJNAF and its disposal is in accordance with the site program. Other non-hazardous wastes are disposed of in landfills, reused on-site, recycled, or used for other purposes offsite. The quantity recycled in FY 2005 was about 107 tons, which included about 20 tons of scrap metal. These "other wastes" are managed for disposal by the appropriate RadCon, Facilities Management, and Medical Services staff. There were no compliance issues for any of these programs in 2005.

Low-Level Radioactive Wastes (LLW)
The only radioactive waste the Lab generates is LLW; thus, there is no source of special nuclear materials. In 2005, 25.55 m3 (cubic meters) of LLW was generated at TJNAF. To date, there has been no generation of mixed (a mixture of hazardous and radioactive) waste.

2.2.2.4 Emergency Planning & Community Right to Know Act (EPCRA)

Under EPCRA, which is aligned with the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act (SARA), TJNAF is responsible for planning and being prepared to respond to chemical emergencies. As well, TJNAF is responsible for completing applicable reporting requirements, such as toxic chemical usage and environmental releases, if there are any. TJNAF files an annual SARA Tier II report with three emergency planning and response groups (EPGs) - the EPA, the DEQ, and a local planning group. The items reported for 2005 were nitric, hydrofluoric, and sulfuric acids; bromine; argon; helium; nitrogen; lead; propylene glycol; and hydraulic oil. The Lab has not used any chemicals that are either toxic or on the persistent, bioaccumulative, or toxic (PBT) list in quantities that exceed Toxic Release Inventory reporting thresholds. Under EPCRA, the Lab must also have a MSDS (Material Safety Data Sheet) available for every chemical on-site. TJNAF has had no releases to date that meet the EPG release reporting criteria.

2.2.2.5 National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)

NEPA, as amended, outlines the Federal policy to restore and enhance the environment and to attain the widest range of beneficial use without degradation. NEPA-related actions are handled in conjunction with the DOE, which is committed to following both the DOE and EPA-related regulations. TJNAF assists the DOE by preparing documents and performing assessments of existing documentation.

NEPA requires that projects with potentially significant environmental impacts be evaluated and alternative actions explored. These evaluations are to be performed and reported as either an Environmental Assessment (EA) or an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). Besides the EAs, TJNAF meets routine NEPA requirements by continuing to implement a program of reviewing construction activities for compliance. All activities in 2005 were addressed under the site's 13 active DOE-approved Categorical Exclusion (CX) actions, EAs, and internal CX reviews.

2.2.2.6 Compliance with Other Regulations and Federal Standards

Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA)

FIFRA applies to the storage and use of herbicides and pesticides. Use of these substances has environmental implications, especially where water quality is concerned. As such, the application of herbicides and pesticides is handled and permitted by subcontractors through a Commonwealth-administered certification program.

In order to minimize the chances of herbicides and pesticides washing into local storm water channels, TJNAF requires that there be no outdoor application of these compounds when rain is expected. To further minimize the chances of pollution, no industrial-strength herbicides or pesticides are stored or disposed of on TJNAF property. Only small amounts are mixed on site. The subcontractor is further responsible for handling any waste disposal through an authorized disposal facility. Small containers of household pesticides are stored on-site and applied per manufacturer's recommendations.

Applicable Executive Orders (EO)

There were numerous activities conducted throughout the Lab in 2005 that furthered efforts to be an environmental steward, especially in waste minimization and P2. Some actions were related to EO requirements, others were staff-initiated, and some a combination of the two.

Information on how the Lab addresses applicable EOs of which there were no concerns or compliance issues during 2005, follows.

EO 11990 Protection of Wetlands

EO 11990 ensures that adverse impacts to wetlands from construction activities are avoided or responsibly mitigated. Evaluation of TJNAF activities involving potential wetlands is accomplished through the NEPA review process.

EO 11998 Floodplain Management

EO 11988 relates to the occupancy and modification of floodplains. There is localized flooding during significant rain events, but no part of the site is within the 100-year floodplain.

EO 13101 Greening the Government through Waste Prevention, Recycling and Federal Acquisition

EO 13101 encourages agencies to implement Affirmative Procurement (AP) by promoting the purchase of products made with recycled materials. The purchase of these materials helps "close-the-loop" in the recycling process.

To comply with this EO, the DOE has set goals and performance standards, including a DOE complex-wide FY 2005 procurement target of 100% for purchasing recycled content EPA-listed products. The Lab has almost reached an internal goal of 95%, as its compliance level rose to 94%, with an adjusted compliance total of 99% for FY 2005. The Business Services Department's procurement staff has made great progress in meeting the intent of this EO since tracking began in 1995.

EO 13123 Greening the Government through Efficient Energy Management

This initiative focuses on energy efficiency (E2) as a means of P2. The DOE seeks a 2005 energy use reduction of 20%, and a 2010 energy use reduction of 25% for industrial/lab category facilities from our a 1999 baseline. For FY 2005, TJNAF documented a 47.1% energy use reduction in all reportable industrial/lab category buildings compared to the 1999 baseline year. The site's highly energy intensive production-related buildings and the CEBAF Center's Computer Center are considered to be exempt from reporting at this time.

EO 13148 Greening the Government through Leadership in Environmental Management

This EO identifies a number of actions for Federal Agencies to implement. These actions include the need to develop an EMS, reducing the use of ODS and toxic chemicals, and to report under EPCRA.

In 2005, TJNAF addressed EO 13148 and general P2 and E2 goals by: self-declaring an EMS (see Section 2.1); working to reduce ODS use (see Section 2.2.2.2); minimizing chemical use not only in day to day Lab operations but also in grounds maintenance; reusing and recycling various items from chemicals to cardboard boxes (to the extent practical) (see Section 2.2.3); and, by disposing of wastes in the most environmentally practical and safe manner. TJNAF continues to make progress in meeting the requirements of this EO, as found throughout this report.

Dogwood Blossoms

2.2.3 Environmental Stewardship at TJNAF: Other Site Programs

Waste Minimization and Pollution Prevention (WMin/P2)
Waste minimization, in combination with other P2 strategies, is recognized as the most cost-effective form of environmental protection (EP). TJNAF's WMin/P2 Awareness Plan fosters the philosophy that waste prevention is superior to paying either for special disposal or for remediation. The goal of the program is to incorporate WMin/P2 into the decision-making process at every level throughout the organization. This is accomplished by having line managers, assisted by both local and EH&S Division staff members, ensure that staff are knowledgeable about the benefits of WMin/P2; consider the waste implications of a new or modified process during the planning stage; and, are recognized when ways to enhance EP are brought to their manager's attention. These practices benefit the environment, protect employees and public health, reduce site waste disposal costs, and foster good community relations.

EP in Product and Service Life Cycles
A variety of products and materials are purchased or otherwise obtained for on-site use. When the materials have served their purpose, they are disposed of in accordance with TJNAF policy. As there are EH&S risks involved, TJNAF has programs and procedures in place that include EP and sustainability considerations.

Environmentally Preferable Purchasing and Planning
TJNAF is committed to integrating environmentally preferable purchasing and sustainability considerations into the acquisition of products, services, and construction projects when feasible. This responsibility is founded on the Lab's commitment to P2 and sound environmental stewardship. Our efforts go beyond the AP requirements regarding EPA-designated products, and include the active avoidance of purchasing items that contain ozone-depleting substances under EO 13101 EPA.

TJNAF continues to make steady and consistent progress toward meeting the DOE AP goals and requirements and in implementing other environmentally preferable purchasing measures

(refer to Section 2.2.2.6). Refer to Figure 2.2.3-1 that shows the Lab's consistent progress. Products purchased with recycled content that meet EPA definitions are indicated. The numbers shown include those purchased that met 'exclusion' criteria that include unavailability of recycled content products and unsatisfactory pricing.

To make this happen, Procurement staff continues to increase employee awareness of EPA-designated products and provide ready access to these recycled content/remanufactured products. Office supply purchases made using Purchase Cards (PCards) have been restricted as a full line of AP items is available using the Lab's e-commerce system. Facilities Management and other staff continue to explore opportunities to find users or vendors that will take or buy items that are no longer needed for Lab operations.

Figure 2.2.3 - 1
Affirmative Procurement Performance



EP Considerations in Building and System Design and Construction Activities

Though the CEBAF accelerator complex is the site's primary energy user, energy management principles are applied throughout the Lab. Subcontractors and staff involved with the design of new buildings or with changing and modifying existing buildings or utility systems evaluate and implement energy and water conserving strategies where feasible. A major construction project, the Phase 1 Addition to CEBAF Center, was designed to incorporate multiple EP elements and may even meet the strict terms required for a future submission for LEED (Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design) certification. Items meeting LEED criteria are the use of energy efficient lighting and the use of an alternative source of energy, that is, not coal or oil.

Environmentally Preferable Use

Besides selecting the best environmentally preferred product or service for the desired activity, staff and users of TJNAF are responsible for following safe and environmentally sound use, storage, and waste management practices.

Factors, such as ensuring that secondary containment is present and proper ventilation for the process is provided, help to minimize exposure to potential hazards. Lab staff and subcontractors have taken opportunities to minimize energy and water use.

Energy Management - With an increased emphasis on energy management, selected mechanical and electrical improvements have been made to building and process systems and equipment in order to improve their performance and reliability. The Lab has exceeded the prescribed energy goals. Facilities Management, which is also responsible for new building construction, is also taking E2 into account during the design process.

Water Conservation - TJNAF uses about 56 million gallons of water annually, with 79% directly related to process or facility heat rejection. Much of this water is evaporated in cooling towers for process cooling and air conditioning. With an increased emphasis on water conservation, water-using processes and site maintenance activities continue to receive extra attention. Available techniques are used to minimize water use, including a regular maintenance program. New projects that need water are reviewed to minimize water use. Existing water-using activities are, or will be, evaluated to reduce water usage as much as possible based on a life cycle cost. Water reductions for landscaping were again implemented in 2005.

Environmentally Preferable Disposal

Today's rapidly changing technologies, products, and practices carry the risk of generating materials and wastes that, if improperly managed, could impair or threaten public health and the environment. In this regard, TJNAF encourages, and, where appropriate, requires the purchase and use of products and services whose waste products will have minimal impact on the environment and public health. Once the waste is generated, Lab staff members are responsible for ensuring proper segregation and disposal of waste items.

The range of options for disposition of materials includes recycling, neutralizing, scrapping, or providing spent chemicals or equipment to co-workers on-site or to other DOE facilities for reuse, or for disposal in a local landfill. The Lab intends for all items to be disposed of in the most environmentally acceptable manner meeting all applicable regulatory and contractual requirements.

The Lab continues to implement waste reduction strategies and to educate and encourage staff on the proper disposition of recyclable materials. Lab staff, users and subcontractors continued to utilize Lab-wide office product recycling centers. Products collected at these local centers are: aluminum cans, small batteries, cardboard, CDs/diskettes, copier/fax/inkjet/laser cartridges, greeting cards, paper wastes, packing peanuts, telephone books, transparencies, Tyvek® envelopes, and plastic and glass bottles, The presence of local recycling centers has considerably increased staff recycling awareness and participation. In FY 2005, with scrap metal and automatic data processing equipment included in the total, TJNAF recycled about 107 tons of materials.

2.3 APPRAISALS, ASSESSMENTS, AND INSPECTIONS

The DOE Site Office, the DOE Oak Ridge Office, and various Commonwealth and local authorities provide external oversight of the TJNAF EP Program. Assurance that on-site processes do not adversely affect the environment is achieved through self-assessments, routine and invited inspections, and oversight by the DOE, DEQ, and the HRSD. TJNAF complies with all applicable laws, regulations, and permits. Actions of note are described here.

DOE Review of TJNAF Self-Assessment

The DOE Site Office's Overlay Report, produced in conjunction with SURA's annual Lab-wide self-assessment, covers EH&S topics, and contains Site Office observations and reviews, DOE appraisal results, and other information. The Report provides an overall performance assessment for the year. For FY2005, the Overlay Performance Evaluation Report yielded a rating of "Good" in the EH&S category. The reduction from the previous "Outstanding" ratings was due to a reduced safety performance (injury avoidance rating); however, FY2005 environmental performance remained in the "Outstanding" category.

External Inspections

There were three external environmental inspections during 2005. HRSD staff conducted the first inspection of the year on March 16, 2005. The inspection focused on the Test Lab (Building 58) acid use areas and basement, and on HRSD meters sites. The second inspection was conducted by the DEQ on March 22, regarding a review of the March 19 oil spill by a CEBAF Center Addition construction-project subcontractor. The third inspection was conducted by the DEQ on August 11. It involved reviewing TJNAF's ground water monitoring VA0089320 permit, which was due for renewal.

SECTION 3
ENVIRONMENTAL RADIOLOGICAL PROGRAM

Radiation, and a variety of radioactive materials, are produced as byproducts of research activities at TJNAF. The impacts of operating radiation-producing equipment and of working with and around radioactive materials have been taken into account in Lab procedures. Any potential impacts have been significantly reduced by applying standard control measures and by implementing ALARA, "as low as reasonably achievable", principles. The resultant potential effective dose equivalents to members of the public from various pathways, such as inhalation, ingestion, and skin absorption, are evaluated by the RadCon Department to demonstrate compliance with EPA and DOE regulatory limits.

TJNAF protects the environment and the public from exposure to radiation by implementing a number of both engineered and administrative controls. The radiological monitoring program is the primary means by which TJNAF accomplishes this objective. Exposure reduction support activities include using permanent and temporary shielding; using active and passive controls at activated water locations; and, following proper protocols when handling radioactive materials and wastes.

The radiological monitoring program is designed to verify that radiation exposures, both for onsite radiation workers and for members of the general public, are below applicable limits and are ALARA. The program also assures that Lab support activities and accelerator testing and operations, as described within the approved operational safety envelope, will result in minimal impacts to the environment and have minimal to no effect on public health.

3.1 RADIATION EXPOSURE PATHWAYS

Accelerator operations produce two broad pathways of potential radiation exposure to the public and environment: direct or *prompt* radiation, and *induced radioactivity* in equipment, air and water. Direct radiation has a potential impact only within close proximity to the site. In the category of induced radioactivity, the only authorized pathway to the environment for these materials is via regulated airborne and liquid effluents. TJNAF performed extensive environmental monitoring in 2005 to measure these forms of accelerator-produced radiation. Pathways to the general public are modeled and monitored when appropriate or as required by law. The decision to monitor a particular pathway is based on the:

- type of operations
- radionuclides released
- potential hazard
- experience from previous monitoring results at TJNAF
- experience at other nuclear and high-energy physics laboratories

> *radioactivity* – a natural and spontaneous process by which the unstable atoms of an element emit or radiate excess energy from their nuclei and, thus, change (or decay) to atoms of a different element or to a lower energy state of the same element

3.1.1 Direct Radiation and its Effects

Direct or prompt radiation results from the interaction of the accelerator beam with matter. This radiation is produced within the beam enclosure and stops when the accelerator is turned off. Beam operation produces significant levels of direct radiation within the accelerator enclosure.

Almost all direct radiation is absorbed by the shielding which is an integral part of accelerator design - any possible exposure to this radiation is at a maximum onsite and decreases with distance, so is insignificant at the site boundary. During 2005, TJNAF continued regular accelerator operations in support of physics experiments in the three experimental halls, as well as operation of the Free-Electron Laser facility. Accelerator operations and related activities were performed within an approved safety envelope, thereby maintaining potential exposures from prompt radiation well below design goals.

Shielding Layout at a Beam Dump Cooling Building

Accelerator enclosures, where direct radiation can be produced, are not accessible during accelerator operations. There are approximately 50 electronic radiation detectors and a series of associated passive integrating detectors deployed around the accelerator site with the primary purpose of measuring onsite radiation. The majority of the electronic detectors are connected to a central computer system that automatically records the radiation levels for subsequent examination. When appropriate, TJNAF employees, subcontractors, and visitors wear detection devices to monitor their onsite radiation exposure. As well, six dual-channel microprocessor-based instruments for monitoring gamma and neutron radiation levels collected direct radiation data (see Section 3.4 below) at the site boundary in 2005.

As stated above, the interaction of the accelerator beam with matter can cause the formation of radioactive materials through activation of matter. The beamlines, magnets, beamline-components, targets, detectors, other experimental area equipment, and the energy dissipating devices (beam dumps) used to contain the beam's energy may become activated. Cooling and ground waters, lubricants, and air in the beam enclosure may also become activated. These activated air, water, and particulates are possible sources of airborne and waterborne radioactivity. Though the direct radiation stops when the accelerator is turned off, the activated equipment, water, and air continue to

emit radiation. All material exposed to the beam is monitored for radioactivity prior to being removed from an accelerator enclosure.

Controls are in place to minimize exposure from both direct radiation and radiation from activated materials on Lab personnel, the environment, and the public.

3.1.2 Waterborne Radiation

TJNAF is situated in the central section of Newport News, Virginia, at an average elevation of about 35 feet above mean sea level. The site is in a Zone C area on the local flood maps, so is not considered to be within the 100-year floodplain. The Lab site is located in the watershed of Brick Kiln Creek, which discharges to the Big Bethel Recreation Area. As water is a vital natural resource, contamination could present potential problems to the general population. Because of this, both the Federal government and the Commonwealth of Virginia regulate both groundwater and surface water.

Groundwater
The TJNAF Groundwater Protection Management Program provides a strategy to minimize impact to groundwater resources and is used as a management tool to guide program implementation. The Program ensures compliance with Federal, Commonwealth, and local regulations, other identified standards, and effective resource management practices. The Lab's groundwater monitoring program serves to assess the effect of TJNAF activities on groundwater quantity and quality. (Refer to Section 3.2.2).

Soil activation is a potential source of groundwater contamination. Groundwater quality in the soil surrounding the accelerator complex is the Commonwealth's largest concern about site operations. The monitoring of VPDES-permitted wells for specified groundwater quality parameters continued in 2005. Through a combination of engineered controls (e.g. shielding) designed into the CEBAF and FEL facilities, and adherence to operational limits, no significant amount of soil or groundwater activation is expected onsite and no offsite effect is anticipated.

Surface Water
The surface water sampling program commenced at the time construction of the experimental halls was completed. The program continued in 2005, and included the quarterly sampling of the groundwater dewatering surface discharge under the VPDES groundwater quality permit. Additional samples were taken at a variety of locations onsite and analyzed to verify surface water quality.

All potentially activated water collected or discharged from accelerator enclosures is sampled to ensure it meets strict limits for onsite surface discharge. Any such water exceeding release criteria was collected and disposed of through a permitted disposal process.

The RadCon Department addresses any activated water spills, and if necessary institutes mitigating measures to minimize potential impact on surface or groundwater.

Surface water quality is maintained by discharging only permitted effluent from a cooling tower and unpolluted waters, such as rainwater, to the environment. Control measures involving radioactive water identified for the site include:

- Using proper procedures, such as secondary containment, around containers where activated water may be temporarily stored.

- Having activated water management procedures in place and using protocols, which provide for sampling and monitoring of potentially activated water (before release) from within all accelerator enclosures.

For information about other non-radiological surface water quality issues at TJNAF, refer to Section 2.2.

3.2 ENVIRONMENTAL RADIATION MONITORING

TJNAF uses environmental monitoring to assess local and offsite environmental conditions. The site environmental monitoring program verifies that any radiation exposures, and radioactive and non-radioactive effluent releases, comply with applicable regulations and other requirements.

While radiation dose rates offsite, from direct and airborne radioactivity, are expected to be well below limits set for the general public, monitoring ensures that the established controls are effective. TJNAF operations have minimal radiological dose impact to the public and the environment. Lab programs and outside advisory committees ensure that the Lab continues to function within regulatory and established administrative limits for direct radiation and airborne emissions. There has been no offsite release of radioactivity in any water effluents beyond the small quantities allowed to be discharged under the Lab's HRSD permit.

The overall effects on the environment and the public from TJNAF's operations are summarized in Exhibit 3.2.2-1. There were no non-routine releases so all values shown result from routine operations. The net ambient external dose measured was 2.7 mrem (27 μSv (microSieverts). This is well below the DOE standard of 100 mrem (1 mSv) for dose to members of the public from all pathways. Information about the air and water monitoring programs follows.

3.2.1 Air

Airborne radionuclide concentrations continue to be too low to directly measure at the site boundary. Annual calculations, using EPA-approved computer modeling codes, show that TJNAF operational emissions remain several orders of magnitude lower than the EPA 10 mrem/yr limit. TJNAF continued making measurements to verify the very low calculated release rate. (Refer to Exhibits 3.2.2-1 and 3.2.2-2) A report, documenting that the 2005 dose to the maximally exposed individual of the public was 0.014 mrem/yr (0.14 μSv/yr) due to airborne releases, was sent to the EPA in 2006. This dose is insignificant when compared to the EPA regulatory limit of 10 mrem/yr (100 μSv/yr).

3.2.2 Water

Groundwater
Activation of groundwater, as a result of direct or secondary radiation, is possible in certain locations around the accelerator complex. Tightly controlled operational protocols and extensive shielding around the accelerator beam enclosures minimizes groundwater activation. The monitoring conditions in VPDES Permit No. VA0089320 serve as the basis for evaluating accelerator-produced radioactivity in groundwater. The data collected through the completion of facility construction in 1995 provide a groundwater quality baseline for comparisons during long-term facility operation. The background samples were analyzed for naturally occurring radionuclides, accelerator-produced radionuclides, and selected chemical parameters. The radionuclides analyzed in 2005 are those

known to relate to operations associated with electron accelerators. They include H-3 (Tritium), Be-7, Na-22, Mn-54, and gross beta. Total manmade radioactivity was also analyzed. The general water quality parameters measured were pH, conductivity, TSS and TDS.

This VPDES groundwater quality permit specifies EPA-approved sampling and analysis protocols, which were the basis of groundwater monitoring in 2005. Fifteen wells were sampled at quarterly, semi-annual, or annual intervals. The permitted wells included the "A", "B", and "C" Ring wells (labeled as to proximity to the accelerator) and the upgradient well, as shown on Exhibit 3.2.2-3. Along with the A-ring wells, the groundwater dewatering effluent at the experimental halls was also monitored quarterly in 2005 and reported under this permit. Note that the water quality beyond the Lab boundary must remain well below the regulated drinking water limit of 1 mrem/year. The annual effective dose equivalent to an individual consuming water activated at this level is so negligible it cannot be measured.

The VPDES groundwater quality action and permit levels for radiological parameters are representative of normal background radionuclides, which are also generated through TJNAF activities. Note that if an action level should be reached at an A-Ring well, it would not result in a permit violation, but would trigger an internal investigation of potential causes. The permit is available for review at https://jlabdoc.jlab.org/docushare/dsweb/Get/Document-13058/Permit%20071706.pdf .

The maximum radiological results obtained from monitoring the wells in the accelerator vicinity during 2005 are presented in the first part of Exhibit 3.2.2-4. The results from the other locations described in the permit are shown in the second half of the exhibit. All measurements were within permit levels. No accelerator-produced activity has been detected. All values represent natural background, and variations are normal.

Exhibit 3.2.2- 1
TJNAF Radiological Dose Reporting Table for 2005

Pathway	Dose to Maximally Exposed Individual mrem/ (mSv)	% of DOE Limit 100 mrem/yr	Estimated Population Dose person-rem / (person-Sv)	Population within 80 km
Air	0.014 (1.4E-04)	0.014	0.027 (2.7 E-04)	-
Water	0	0	N/A	-
Other Pathways	2.7 (2.7 E-02)	2.7	Unknown/ Unknowable	-
All Pathways	2.7 (2.7 E-02)	2.7		214,000 est.

Notes: 0.007 = 7 x 10-3 = 7 E-03
Values presented in Exhibits 3.2.2-1, 3.2.2-2, & 3.2.2-5 are presented in Scientific Notation (example, 2 E-05 is 0.00002)
mSv = MilliSievert

Exhibit 3.2.2- 2
TJNAF Radiological Atmospheric Releases for 2005

Radionuclide [half-life (timeframes)]	**Ci (Bq) in CY 2005**
Tritium [12.26 yr]	1.79 E-02 (6.6 E+08)
Be-7 [53 .6 days]	1.22 E-03 (4.5 E +07)
C-11 [20.3 m]	5.14E-01 (1.9 E+10)
N-13 [9.96 m]	3.96 (1.5E+11)
O-15 [123 sec]	2.15 (8.0 E+10)
Cl-38 [37.29 m]	2.13 E-02 (7.9 E+08)
Cl-39 [55.5 m]	2.52 E-01 (9.3 E+09)
Ar-41 [1.83 hr]	1.12 E-03 (4.1 E +07)

Notes: 1 pCi = 1 x 10 E-12 Ci = 0.037 Bq
1 Ci = 3.7×10^{10}
m: minutes

Exhibit 3.2.2- 3
Monitoring Well Locations



Exhibit 3.2.2- 4
Maximum Groundwater Measurements for Radionuclides Relevant to TJNAF Operations
January 2005 through December 2005

Radionuclides at Associated Wells Relevant to Accelerator Operations (in pCi/l unless noted otherwise)

Analyte	A-Ring	B-Ring	A and B Rings (Permit Level)	C-Ring	C-Ring (Permit Level)
Gross Beta	12.1	9.3	50	6.4	153
Manmade Radioactivity	< 0.167 mrem/yr	< 0.147 mrem/yr	1 mrem/yr	not applicable	–
Tritium	ND at < 727	ND at < 563	5000	ND at < 310	< 1000
Sodium-22	ND at < 12.7	ND at < 10.6	–	ND at < 10.5	< 61
Beryllium-7	ND at < 88.4	ND at < 86.6	–	ND at < 76.0	< 835
Manganese-54	ND at < 11.0	ND at < 10.6	–	ND at < 10.3	< 51

Radionuclides at Other Permit Locations (in pCi/l)

Analyte	Upgradient Well	Discharge 001
Gross Beta	1.65	13.30
Tritium	ND at < 310	ND at < 587
Sodium-22	ND at < 10.0	ND at < 9.55
Beryllium-7	ND at < 72.0	ND at < 78.0
Manganese-54	ND at < 8.9	ND at < 9.10

Notes: No accelerator-produced activity has been detected.
ND: Not detectable above permit-required sensitivity limits
Conversion: 1 pCi = 1 x 10^{-12} Ci = 0.037 Bq

Exhibit 3.2.2- 5
TJNAF Liquid Effluent Discharges of Radionuclides to HRSD for 2005

	Tritium (Permit Level 5 Ci) (Bq)	Other Gamma-Emitting Radionuclides (Permit Level 1 Ci) (Bq)		
Radionuclide	**H-3**	**Be-7**	**Na-22**	**Mn-54**
Ci (Bq) in CY 2005	1.17 (4.3 E+9)	1.84 x 10^{-3} (6.8 E+07)	2.22 x 10^{-5} (8.21 E+06)	None Detected

Exhibit 3.2.2- 6
Analytical Results for Discharges to HRSD in 2005

Monthly Values

Reporting Period	Tritium Concentration (pCi/l)	Reporting Period	Tritium Concentration (pCi/l)
January	17,000	July	23,000
February	56,000	August	32,000
March	40,000	September	38,000
April	53,000	October	38,000
May	35,000	November	9,600
June	52,000	December	12,000

Quarterly Values

Reporting Period	Tritium Concentration (pCi/l)	Other Gamma-Emitting Radionuclides Concentration (pCi/l)		
		Be-7	Na-22	Mn-54
First Quarter	36,000	1.2	0.15	None detected
Second Quarter	48,000	14	0.17	None detected
Third Quarter	32,000	39	1.2	None detected
Fourth Quarter	21,000	150	0.90	None detected

Notes: These effluent concentrations are well below the 0.1 μCi/ml (1,000,000 pCi/l) permit limit.
Radionuclides are analyzed at EPA sensitivity levels or better.
Conversion: $1pCi = 1 \times 10^{-12}$ Ci = 0.037 Bq

Other Water Monitoring

The Cooling Water Tank (Building 92) and the floor drain sump (FDS) pit (Building 97) are considered one HRSD sampling point. The cooling water tank noted is used to collect activated water from various sources, including from the beam dump cooling water systems and from various discharges from accelerator apparatus and accelerator dehumidification condensate. The floor drain sump (FDS) pit contains various discharges including low-level activated dehumidification condensate from the hall air conditioning systems. Sampling and analysis for applicable radionuclides were performed and reviewed to ensure HRSD criteria were met prior to any discharge from either location to the sanitary system. The analytical results are recorded and monthly and quarterly concentration values are provided to HRSD. The total quantity of radioactivity released to HRSD in 2005 is presented in Exhibit 3.2.2-5. See Exhibit 3.2.2-6 for the monthly and composite quarterly activity concentrations (at the sampling point) for 2005. The concentrations varied based on the quantity of beam dump cooling water discharged during the reporting period.

In 2005, other water sampling and analysis for radioactivity were performed on a periodic basis on various discharges from areas accelerator sumps. Any water identified as a potential concern was collected and discharged according to the terms of the HRSD permit.

3.3 OTHER SUPPORT ACTIVITIES

Permanent shielding in the form of thick concrete walls and earth berms protects the environment from exposure. Monitoring equipment continually measures and records radiation levels both inside and outside the facility, and where appropriate, automatically interrupts beam operation if unusual levels are detected.

Various accelerator-related water systems have the potential for becoming activated. All areas where activated water could be present have controls in place. Locations with a high potential for activation have secondary containment or other physical measures installed and administrative lockout/tagout controls. Other areas with less or even minimal potential for activation are monitored periodically to ensure levels are within expected values.

The RadCon Department establishes access-controlled areas to temporarily store radioactive materials, including those being stored for decay, and wastes. There is negligible impact to the environment and no impact on public health from the small quantity of these materials stored on-site.

3.4 ASSESSMENTS OF POTENTIAL DOSE TO THE PUBLIC AND TO BIOTA

The six electronic radiation measurement devices noted in Section 3.1.1, installed along the accelerator site boundary, continued to be used to determine offsite dose to the public due to TJNAF operations. These electronic detectors - radiation boundary monitors (RBMs) - measure and log radiological information. In addition, passive integrating detectors are used for a number of measurements. All measured dose values were within statutory and administrative limits.

Exhibit 3.4-1 displays the radiation doses in mrem for 2005 at RBM-3 positioned near Hall C. RBM - 3 is the detector that sees the largest dose from a combination of accelerator and experimental hall operations. A comparison with natural background radiation is made, which indicates the relatively low levels of TJNAF's contribution to the public dose. These background levels do not include contributions to dose from naturally occurring radon, which typically doubles natural radiation dose to the public.

TJNAF does not release any residual radioactive material, such as concrete or soil, so there are no resulting dose impacts to the public. The absorbed dose to any local aquatic animals, or terrestrial plants or animals, from TJNAF operations will not exceed the internationally recommended dose limits for terrestrial biota. As there are no potential releases of a magnitude that could result in doses exceeding 0.1 rad/day to terrestrial animals, the lowest limit for any biota, no dose limits will be exceeded.

TJNAF did not contribute significantly to the radiation dose received by the public through either airborne or waterborne pathways. The direct radiation exposure was again measurable in 2005, but was found to be about 27% of the TJNAF design goal of one-tenth of the DOE limit.

Exhibit 3.4- 1
Radiation Boundary Monitor RBM-3 Results for 2005

Period	Neutron (mrem)	Gamma (mrem)	Total(mrem)
Jan-June	0.55 ±0.01	0.14 ± 0.01	0.69 ± 0.02
July-Dec	1.60 ± 0.02	0.40 + 0.01	2.00 + 0.02
TOTAL	2.15 ± 0.03	0.54 ± 0.02	2.69 ± 0.03
Natural Background	~1.8	~110	~112

Notes:
Statistical errors are quoted at 1 sigma.
Systematic errors including calibration (not included) are approximately 20% for neutrons.
Gamma dose equivalent rates are estimated based on best known statistical correlation techniques.
RBM-3 received the highest dose.
Conversion: 1 mrem = 0.01 mSv

3.5 QUALITY ASSURANCE

Regular quality assurance (QA) efforts are being made to ensure that TJNAF's environmental monitoring program is performed in accordance with the principles of the TJNAF QA Program Manual.

QA in Sampling Procedures

The TJNAF QA Program includes qualification of the laboratories that provide analytical services, verification of certification to perform analytical work, and review of performance test results. Also included in this review is the adequacy of their internal quality control (QC) practices, recordkeeping, chain of custody, and the relevant portions of the QA program itself.

The RadCon Department and other program management are involved in the qualification process for environmentally sensitive services, including offsite analytical laboratories, and are responsible for auditing their own QA practices and implementing relevant QA procedures. The TJNAF SA/QA (Self-Assessment/Quality Assurance) function performs independent assessments of all functional areas, including those for EP activities. The DOE oversight organizations, in their independent overview capacity, also perform periodic audits and surveillance of TJNAF. No QA concerns were noted for CY 2005 regarding sampling protocols or results.

Universal Laboratories, Inc. (Universal Labs) collected most VPDES and HRSD permit-related water samples. BWX Technologies, Inc. (BWX), and Paragon Analytics, their subcontractors, performed all radiological analyses on identified samples. Several field audits were performed and showed Universal Labs' collection procedures were satisfactory.

Other sample collection that involves radiochemicals, including some required by the HRSD permit, is performed by the RadCon Department and analyzed in the RadCon radiological analysis lab (Building 52).

QA in Analysis

Samples are analyzed for radiological (and non-radiological) attributes using standard EPA-approved analytical procedures. A continuing program of analytical laboratory QC, participation in interlaboratory crosschecks, analysis of various blanks, and replicate sampling and analysis verifies data quality. RadCon, Accelerator Division EH&S staff, and other responsible staff review all

analytical data for samples analyzed under their subcontracts. The analytical results are reviewed relative to the accompanying QA/QC results and compared with regulatory limits for acceptability. These reviews include inspection of chain-of-custodies, sample stewardship, sample handling and transport, and sampling protocols. When applicable to the analysis requested, analytical labs must be appropriately certified.

Ongoing precision and accuracy are monitored by analysis of the following with each batch of samples taken under Permit VA0089320: laboratory standards, duplicate determinations, matrix spikes, and matrix spike duplicates. This data is used to calculate the relative standard deviation on all applicable parameters. The quality of the data is then evaluated and compared to regulatory limits to determine acceptability. In addition, a range of radiochemical spikes is used to test the vendor's ability to achieve the required sensitivity for each parameter, and their reliability in detecting accelerator-produced radionuclides at or below the concentration guide standards. This enables compliance with permit requirements that QA is performed.

Independent QA under the DOE

The Environmental Measurements Laboratory (EML) Quality Assessment Program (QAP) is an external, independent performance evaluation program designed to test the quality of environmental radiological measurements and provides DOE with complex-wide comparability of environmental radiological analysis. Under this program, four matrices of various radionuclides are distributed semi-annually to DOE-subcontracted laboratories for analysis, with the labs analyzing only the parameters for which they analyze under contract. The results for 2005 can be found at http://www.inl.gov/resl/mapep/reports.html.

In 2005, BWX, Paragon Analytics and TJNAF's RadCon lab participated in the EML's QAP, performed semi-annually, for radionuclides.

Performance results for all BWX, Paragon Analytics and TJNAF programs were satisfactory for all relevant radionuclides in 2005.

SECTION 4
DISTRIBUTION LIST

INTERNAL

Dr. Christoph W. Leemann, Laboratory Director and President of JSA
Mr. Michael D. Dallas, Chief Operating Officer
Dr. Anthony W. Thomas, Associate Director, Chief Scientist
Dr. Lawrence Cardman, Associate Director, Experimental Nuclear Physics
Dr. Swapan Chattopadhyay, Associate Director, Accelerator Operations, Research & Development
Dr. H. Frederick Dylla, Associate Director, Free Electron Laser and Chief Technology Officer
Ms. Mary C. Erwin, Chief Financial Officer
Mr. Craig R. Ferguson, Associate Director, Environment, Safety, Health and Quality Division
Ms. Sally J. Fisk, Chief of Staff
Mr. Bruce L. Lenzer, Quality Assurance & Continuous Improvement Manager
Dr. Allison F. Lung, Associate Director, 12 GeV Project Manager
Dr. R. Roy Whitney, Chief Information Officer
Mr. Erik J. Abkemeier, Radiation Control Department Head, ESH&Q Division
Ms. Linda L. Even, Environmental Engineer, ESH&Q Division
Mr. Carter B. Ficklen, ESH&Q Reporting Manager, ESH&Q Division
Dr. Andrew M. Hutton, Accelerator Division Deputy Director of Operations, Accelerator Division Safety Officer
Mr. John J. Kelly, Emergency Manager
Mr. Robert T. May, Deputy Associate Director, ESH&Q Division
Mr. Claus H. Rode, Project Services and Systems Manager
Rhonda M. Scales, Esq., Legal Counsel
Dr. Dennis M. Skopik, Deputy Associate Director, Physics Division Safety Officer
Mr. John R. Sprouse, Jr., Facilities and Logistics Manager
Mr. Mark J. Waite, Procurement Director

EXTERNAL

The Honorable Timothy M. Kaine, Governor
The Honorable George F. Allen
The Honorable Jo Ann S. Davis
The Honorable Thelma D. Drake
The Honorable J. Randy Forbes
The Honorable Phillip A. Hamilton
The Honorable Terry G. Kilgore
The Honorable Mamie E. Locke
The Honorable G. Glenn Oder
The Honorable Robert C. Scott
The Honorable John W. Warner
The Honorable Martin E. Williams
The Honorable Joe S. Frank, Mayor, City of Newport News
The Honorable Ross A. Kearney, II, Mayor, City of Hampton

The Honorable Walter C. Zaremba, Chairperson, York County Board of Supervisors
Mr. John W. Anderson, Department Head for ES&H Infrastructure Support, Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory
Dr. Sayed Rokni, Acting Associate Director, ES&H Radiation Protection, Stanford Linear Accelerator Center
Mr. Delma R. Carpenter, President/Publisher, The Virginian-Pilot
Dr. Jerry P. Draayer, President, Southeastern Universities Research Association, Inc.
Mr. Leslie P. Foldesi, Director, Bureau of Radiological Health Program, Virginia Department of Health
Mr. Roger Dirkes and Mr. Ted Poston, Environmental Characterization & Risk Assessment Pacific Northwest National Laboratory
Mr. Ronald E. Johnson, Chief, Industrial Waste Division, Hampton Roads Sanitation District
Ms. Rhondra J. Matthews, President and CEO, Daily Press, Inc.
Mr. William Griffing, Section Head, ES&H Section, Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory
Mr. Robert T. Moore, Industrial Waste Manager, Hampton Roads Sanitation District
Mr. James A. Turi, DOE Site Manager, TJNAF
Ms. Patricia Sumner, DOE ES&H Manager, TJNAF
Mr. Scott J. Mallette, DOE Deputy Manager, TJNAF
Mr. L. Preston Bryant, Jr., Secretary of Natural Resources (for Virginia)
Mr. Steven J. Neilson, DOE Industrial/Occupational Safety Specialist
Ms. Deanna D. Austin, Sr. Engineer, Virginia Department of Environmental Quality
Mr. Mark H. Sauer, Sr. Environmental Engineer, Virginia Department of Environmental Quality

ACRONYMS and ABBREVIATIONS

These acronyms and abbreviations reflect the typical manner in which terms are used for this specific document and may not apply to all situations.

ADPE	Automatic Data Processing (Equipment)
ALARA	As Low As Reasonably Achievable
AP	Affirmative Procurement
ARC	Applied Research Center
BMP	Best Management Practice
Bq	Becquerel
BWX	BWX Technologies
CAA	Clean Air Act
CAAA	Clean Air Act Amendments
CASA	Center for Advanced Studies of Accelerators
CEBAF	Continuous Electron Beam Accelerator Facility
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
CFC	Chlorofluorocarbon
Ci	Curie
CLAS	CEBAF Large Acceptance Spectrometer
CWA	Clean Water Act
CX	Categorical Exclusion
CY	Calendar Year
DEQ	(Virginia) Department of Environmental Quality
DOD	U.S. Department of Defense
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
E2	Energy Efficiency
EA	Environmental Assessment
EHS	Extremely Hazardous Substance
EH&S	Environment, Health, and Safety
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
EML	Environmental Measurements Laboratory
E M S	Environmental Management System
EO	Executive Order of the President of the United States
EP	Environmental Protection
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency

EPCRA	Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act
EPGs	Emergency Planning and Response Groups
FDS	Floor Drain Sump
FEL	Free-Electron Laser
FIFRA	Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act
FONSI	Finding of No Significant Impact
FY	Fiscal Year
GeV	Billion (Giga-) electron Volts
HRSD	Hampton Roads Sanitation District
IR	Infrared
ISM	Integrated Safety Management
JSA	Jefferson Science Associates, LLC.
kW	Kilowatt
LQCD	Lattice Quantum Chromodynamics
LEED	Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design
LINAC	Linear Accelerator
LLW	Low Level Radioactive Waste
LSA	Line Self-Assessment
μSv	MicroSievert
M^3	Cubic Meters
mrem	Millirem
MS4	Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems
MSDS	Material Safety Data Sheet
mSv	MilliSievert
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
NASA	National Aeronautics and Space Administration
N D	Not detectable
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NESHAPs	National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants
ODS	Ozone-Depleting Substance
P2	Pollution Prevention
PBT	Persistent, Bioaccumulative, or Toxic
PCards	Purchase Cards

pCi/ l	Picocuries per liter
QA	Quality Assurance
QAP	Quality Assessment Program
QC	Quality Control
RadCon	Radiation Control (Department)
RBM	Radiation Boundary Monitor
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
R&D	Research and Development
RF	Radiofrequency
SA/QA	Self-Assessment / Quality Assurance
SARA	Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act
SER	Site Environmental Report
SNS	Spallation Neutron Source
SOP	Standard Operating Procedure
SPCC	Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasure

SRF	Superconducting Radiofrequency
SURA	Southeastern Universities Research Association, Inc.
Sv	Sievert
SWP3	Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan
TDS	Total Dissolved Solids
TJNAF	Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility (Jefferson Lab)
TSS	Total Suspended Solids
Universal Labs	Universal Laboratories, Inc.
UV	Ultraviolet
VPDES	Virginia Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
WMin/P2	Waste Minimization/Pollution Prevention
WSS	Work Smart Standards

Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility (Jefferson Lab)
is managed by Jefferson Science Associates, LLC
for the United States Department of Energy Office of Science
www.jlab.org • 757.269.7100

Jefferson Lab
12000 Jefferson Avenue
Newport News, VA 23606